

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Adexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wayu.gito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/694,927	10/24/2000	Victor T. Huang	8863.73US0J	1712
30173	7590 12/02/2003		EXAM	INER
GENERAL MILLS, INC.			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
P.O. BOX 111 MINNEAPOL	3 IS, MN 55440		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Comment of the Commen	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/694,927	HUANG ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lien T Tran	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN I to avoid abandonment of this a er: (1) a timely filed amendment uppeal (with appeal fee): or (3) a	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)	]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date on o event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the p fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration decrease.	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date so expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS ). The date on which the petition under eriod of extension and the corresponding late of the shortened statutory core for	mailing date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension gamount of the fee. The appropriate extension
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Se	e 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he mailing date of the final rejection, even if
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel     The street of Appeal was filed on Appel     The street of Appeal was filed on  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37).	7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	ihe period set forth in ssal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:	
(a) M they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tion in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	rould be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request application in condition for allowance because	st for reconsideration has been e e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	considered but does NOT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	because it is not directed SOLI	ELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendar explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered ns would be rejected is provided	or b) will be entered and an below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	-	
Claim(s) objected to: none.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 7,9,25-26,28-32.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State		
10. ☐ Other:	, /( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 1
		Contron
		LIEN TRAM FRIMMS EXAMINER
		Charp (707)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/694.927

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment will be entered in part. The portion of the amendment to the claims which is done to overcome the 112 first and second paragraph rejections as set forth in the final rejection will be entered to reduce the issue for for appeal. The portion of the amendment which adds the limitation "wherein the baked wafer is molded into a desired shaped prior to baking", "the sweetener consists of sucrose", "mixtures of the crystalline hydrate former" and new claim 33 will not be entered because these limitations were not claimed previously and will require a new search and further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is directed at the new limitations which will not be entered..